

## Central Ohio EHE Advisory Committee 11.19.2020

### I. Announcements

- a. Trans day of remembrance is tomorrow, November 20
- b. Brandon shared materials related to World AIDS Day

### II. Strategies for EHE

- a. Overarching/crosscutting strategies
  - i. Actively work to increase the number of racial, ethnic, gender and sexual orientation minorities health care service providers in all areas of HIV prevention and treatment in Central OH
  - ii. Address stigma by conducting a broad community wide education campaign
  - iii. Modernize archaic legislation that criminalizes HIV
  - iv. Support (medical and social) to PLWH to assure that they can remain engaged in care and virally suppressed
  - v. Provide enhanced support to PLWH who struggle to achieve and maintain viral suppression
  - vi. Improve reengagement and retention in care for PLWH
  - vii. Create or link to a system of care that provides necessary mental health and SUD services

### III. Feedback

- a. Has there been discussion around molecular surveillance as it relates to modernizing HIV laws? What's the awareness of how this surveillance relates? How can we incorporate this into education more?
  - i. This hasn't really come up in Central OH.
- b. **Action Item:** Could we develop a sub-committee/working group to delve into this?
- c. Some of this comes down to how ODH and CDC collect the data
- d. This has come up in Cuyahoga County and there is community concern around privacy. Should we see if other jurisdictions would be interested in joining the conversations?
- e. What are reactions to language in slides?
  - i. CPH- has an Office of Minority Health
  - ii. Other suggestions, Black and other minority populations, underrepresented, "we are talking about increasing our priority populations to be representative in the work focus," "actively work to increase diversity amongst....underrepresented groups"
  - iii. Share examples from other jurisdictions that have language
- f. Viral suppression/retention in care/MH/SUD

- i. Should it remain in cross-cutting strategies?
  - ii. Great to include, but should go under Treat
  - iii. Could these stay in both cross-cutting and in Treat?
  - iv. As long as they're here, that's what's important.
- g. Revised Treat Category
- h. Using Zoom poll to prioritize revised strategies.
  - i. 1<sup>st</sup> poll:
    - 1. Electronic files priority- medium priority
    - 2. Combined 2 priorities here so that files can be more coordinate and not retraumatize patients and are easier to share.
    - 3. Other priorities in first poll ranked as high priority
  - ii. 2<sup>nd</sup> poll:
    - 1. High- revising case management, address medical mistrust, increase availability of walk in clinics
    - 2. Medium- others
    - 3. Low- incentives

#### **IV. Next Steps**

- a. Pulling the ranked strategies into one place and sharing that with the group
- b. Are we capping the high priority ranked items at 5 per pillar?
  - i. Depends on how interconnected they are...more than 5 is manageable if they're connected
- c. "Create an electronic system..." should be higher on the prioritization
  - i. There is agreement that this is important, but the feasibility is a key question.
  - ii. Program in Miami that is more integrated and includes alerts when a patient enters ED.
  - iii. Existing programs for HIV care already all use different systems. CliniSync could help, but not sure of its capacity to capture social determinants of health type services.
  - iv. "Services should wrap around clients" instead of clients wrapping around services, but this is a challenge that exceeds the ability of the committee. But we should include strategies that address that reliving trauma piece.
  - v. We could just identify this as a strategy that's going to take a longer amount of time.
  - vi. Create a separate priority that exemplifies the client experience in the system. This needs to be smoother.